English battles endless Your front-page story about "unfair repcommittee (Texan, Wednesday, "Critics of E 346K Committee claim unfair representation") misses the point of the battle over

resentation" on the most recent E 346K

the University's writing courses. Professor Kinneavy is now crying

the administration for the proposal that established E 346K, he himself falsely claimed overwhelming support by faculty and students. In fact, on December 7. 1981, the Faculty Senate voted 4 to 1 against Kinneavy's proposal; the student members of the University Council unani-

"Foul!" but when he had the backing of

mously supported the notably different program which faculty and students had really voted for; and at that point Kinneavy joined assorted deans in indignant denunciation of the student-supported program. All these things are matters of the public record which the combatants seem united in disregarding. Professor Sutherland's claim that Kinneavy and the rhetoricians are now

being treated fairly also collapses under scrutiny. For example, Sutherland has said, repeatedly, that E 346K was unsuccessful because students did not take the

variants relevant to their majors; but the English bureaucracy itself instructed departmental advisers that "students are not required to take the variant slanted toward their particular discipline." Briefly, then, the Department of English's pots are calling its kettles black.

Meanwhile, essential facts are being ignored. The department cannot unite on a writing program because it is divided by the struggle between the literati and the

rhetoricians. Even when the department has been more unified, the administration has never provided adequate support for any of the long series of unsuccessful writing programs. An honest decision now would be simply to abandon all require-

ments in English, since they will never be

supported; but instead the department's

fer. Professor Sutherland calls that proposal "Splendid."

lames Sledd Professor of English, retired

most recent committee proposes to require

a course which the University will not of-